

EVENT REPORT FORM

Type of event	Fourth Project Management Committee meeting
Venue	Second on-line meeting
Date	15 September 2020
Organizer	
Reporting date	16 September 2020
Report author(s)	Milan Gocic

EVENT DESCRIPTION

with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the event	25				
Participants (organisations)	All SWARM partners excluding PWMCVV and UL				
Event description:					

The fourth PMC meeting of the Erasmus+ CBHE project "Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders" (SWARM) on-line as the second on-line meeting using Zoom platform as a tool for the meeting on 15th September 2020. The meeting was chaired by Milan Goci.

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss future project activities in more details. All project partners took part into this meeting, excluding representatives from the PWMC W and UL.

The meeting agenda was adopted without changes.

University of Nis





Attachments

Agenda (pdf)	SWARM – Fourth PMC meeting agenda				
Attendance list (pdf)					
Photos (jpg)	Photoson website				
Presentations (pdf)	01 WP4 SWARM – Zakhar Maletsyi, Harsha Ratnaweera 02 Realization of WP6 activities – Milan Gocic				
Other personal remarks					

Organisation details

Date of event material release	15 September 2020
Date of participants list's finalisation	15 September 2020
Date of agenda finalisation	15 September 2020
Number of participants (according to the at tendance list)	25
Comments	

Problems encountered during the event preparation phase

Please add your comments, if any: Strengths and limitations of the event (please include comments received)

Strengths of the event and contributions or



.....

activities by participants	
Suggestions for the improvement	All partners should attend the meeting.
Any further comments	

Evaluation details


Results of evaluation of the general organisation of the event

Description

The general organization of the fourth PMC meeting is evaluated with very high marks. In general, all partners should suggest the topics for future events.

Table/Figure

The general organisation of the fourth PMC meeting					
Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Logistic preparation and organization of event	0	0	0	20.0	80.0
Content of the agenda	0	0	0	13.3	86.7
Arrangements of the event (venue, equipment, etc.)	0	0	0	13.3	86.7





.....

Results of evaluation of general working communication


Description

The general working communication is evaluated with high marks. The event was organized to tally in line with the previous defined agenda.

Table/Figure

General working communication					
Grading	Very	Poor	Good	Very	Excellent
	poor			Good	
Communication before the event	0	0	0	13.3	86.7
Duration and timetable of the event	0	0	0	20.0	80.0
Quality of materials provided during the event	0	0	0	26.7	73.3
Quality of presentations	0	0	0	26.7	73.3
Communication between the organizer and the other partners	0	0	0	20.0	80.0
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	0	0	0	13.3	86.7

General working communication Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions Communication between the organizer and



4.87




Results of evaluation of overall success of the event

Description

The overall success of the fourth PMC meeting is evaluated with high marks. There was a discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and events. All partners had an opportunity to express their opinion and made suggestions for future project implementation.

Table/Figure

Overall success of the event					
Grading	Poor	Very poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Mode of reaching the decisions at the event	0	0	0	20.0	80.0
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	0	0	0	13.3	86.7
Objectives in the agenda regarding the SWARM project are reached	0	0	0	26.7	73.3
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and events	0	0	0	13.3	86.7
Assignment of follow-up tasks	0	0	0	20.0	80.0





Please indicate your suggestions for further event's improvement:

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.